

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,339		06/21/2001	William Y. Conwell	P0379	7232	
23735	7590	08/02/2004		EXAMINER		
		ORATION	SONG, HOSUK			
SUITE 250	W 72ND AVENUE 50			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TUALATI	N, OR 97	062		2135		
				DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SL

	}		
e		Application No.	Applicant(s)
0		09/888,339	CONWELL ET AL.
Office Action Su	mmary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Hosuk Song	2135
The MAILING DATE of t Period for Reply	his communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If the period for reply specified above, If NO period for reply is specified above, Failure to reply within the set or extende	COMMUNICATION. er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. ess than thirty (30) days, a reply the maximum statutory period w d period for reply will, by statute, in three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON date of this communication, even if timely file	imely filed lys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. & 133)
Status			
	2b)⊠ This in condition for allowar	nne 2001. action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pr x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	
Disposition of Claims			
4)) is/are withdrav lowed. <u>' 19-21</u> is/are rejected. objected to.	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers			
Applicant may not request Replacement drawing sheet	is/are: a) acce that any objection to the o et(s) including the correcti	r. epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is of aminer. Note the attached Office	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies of 2. Certified copies of 3. Copies of the certi application from th	None of: the priority documents the priority documents fied copies of the prior the International Bureau	have been received in Applicatity documents have been receiv	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8.	ving Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-10,12-15,19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis et al.(US 5,436,653) in view of Rump et al.(US 6,735,311).

Claims 1,6: Ellis discloses aggregating first signature data and second signature data in (col.5,lines 57-67). Ellis disclose identifying information associated with the first signature data and the second signature data and determining a subset of the associated information based at least in part on a frequency occurrence of the subset in (col.5,lines 47-67;col.11,lines 42-46). Ellis does not specifically disclose fingerprint data. Rump's patent discloses fingerprint data in (col.6,lines 47-54). It would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to employ data fingerprinting as taught in Rump with signature method disclosed in Ellis because fingerprinting provides tracking information about data intruders and further provides information such as time and/or date of the content thereby enhancing security of its data.

Claim 2: Official notice is taken that vote tally is well known in the art. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use vote tally in order to enhance the data analysis and distribution scheme.

Claim 3: Ellis discloses subset comprises at least one of audio, video, and image data in (col.11,lines 42-46).

Art Unit: 2135

Claim 4: Ellis discloses associated information comprises at least one of audio, video and image data in(col.6,lines 65-67;col.7,lines 1-2).

Claim 5: Ellis discloses aggregating signature data within a predetermined time period in (col.29,lines 45-47). Fingerprint data is discussed in claim 1 rejection.

Claims 7-10,19: Ellis discloses aggregating a first set of audio signature with second set of audio signature and determining a plurality of songs relating to the aggregated signature in (col.23,lines 54-60). Ellis discloses selecting a song from the plurality of songs based on a number of times selected song matches the aggregated signature in (col.7,lines 50-67). Ellis does not specifically disclose fingerprint data. Rump's patent discloses fingerprint data in (col.6,lines 47-54). It would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to employ data fingerprinting as taught in Rump with signature method disclosed in Ellis because fingerprinting provides tracking information about data intruders and further provides information such as time and/or date of the content thereby enhancing security of its data.

Claim 12: Ellis discloses user device generates second signature data in (col.5,lines 59-66).

Claims 13-15: Neither Ellis nor Rump discloses cellphone. Official notice is taken that cellphone is well known in the art. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use cellphone because of portability, conveniency offered by wireless technology.

Claims 20-21: Ellis discloses receiving a signal from a first broadcast source at a reference receiver in (fig.1 and col.4,lines 35-46). Ellis nor Rump specifically discloses watermark signal. Official notice is taken that watermark is well known in the art. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ watermarking in order to

Art Unit: 2135

protect its ownership and copyright information. Ellis discloses interrogating a database with unique id to identify set of signature associated with received signal in (col.29,lines 17-35,60-66). Ellis does not specifically disclose fingerprint data. Rump's patent discloses fingerprint data in (col.6,lines 47-54). It would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to employ data fingerprinting as taught in Rump with signature method disclosed in Ellis because fingerprinting provides tracking information about data intruders and further provides information such as time and/or date of the content thereby enhancing security of its data.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 11,16-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Rabin et al.(US 6,697,948).
 - b. Wyatt(US 6,041,411).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hosuk Song whose telephone number is 703-305-0042. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 6:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 703-305-4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2135

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HS

113